Page 1 of 2

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

July 03, 2025 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

CATHERINE M CISNEROS,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ § 8	
v.	\$ §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-00292
	§	
ARMOR HEALTH,	§	
Defendant.	§ §	

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 26). The M&R recommends that the Court dismiss this case without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for want of prosecution. *Id.* at 2.

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, L.P., No. 4:14-CV-02700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015) (Harmon, J.) (citation omitted).

Having reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. 1/2

(D.E. 26). Accordingly, this case is **DISMISSED without prejudice** under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for want of prosecution. A final judgment will issue separately.

SO ORDERED.

DAVID MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Signed: Corpus Christi, Texas July 3⁻⁻⁻, 2025